IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

Contempt Petition No. 42 /2005

SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER : S.K. Sahay s/o Late Dhaneshwar Dayal Sahay, Retired Head Master, age 73 years, r/o Saliyatoli, Kunkuri, District Jashpur, C.G.

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS CONTEMNOR : 1. Shri P.C. Dalai, Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.).

2. Bhupendra Kumar Rajput, Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department, Jashpur, C.G.

3. Jitiya Ram Nirala, Block Education Officer, Duldula, Jashpur, C.G.

P.R. No. 641/05 Presented by Shri Rajesh Tiwari dated 23.03.05

CONTEMPT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SECTION 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT.

(33)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI H.L. DATTU, C.J.

Contempt Petition No.42 of 2005

Petitioner: S.K.Sahay

Versus

Respondent: Shri P.C.Dalai and others

Present:

Shri A.K.Prasad, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, counsel for respondent No.2.
None appears for other respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 1st March, 2007)

This Court, while disposing of Writ Petition No.4142/2003, by order dated 8-4-2004, had directed the petitioner to file an appropriate representation before the respondents and further the respondents had been directed to consider the same in accordance with law. Alleging that the respondents have not complied with the orders and directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has filed this contempt petition before this Court for initiating contempt proceedings.

2. Respondent No.2 has entered appearance through learned counsel and has also filed his counter affidavit. In that, he has stated on oath that after considering the representation of the petitioner, he has passed an appropriate order. Since there is substantial compliance

(34) (5)

of the orders and directions issued by this Court, I do not intend to take cognizance of this contempt petition.

3. Accordingly, the contempt petition is rejected. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to question the correctness or otherwise of the orders/endorsements issued by the respondents after considering the representation of the petitioner, before the appropriate forum. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice